UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 8-K

CURRENT REPORT

Pursuant to Section 13 OR 15(d) of The Securities Exchange Act of 1934

November 15, 2023

Date of Report (date of earliest event reported)

LUMOS PHARMA, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

001-35342 (Commission File Number) **42-1491350** (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

4200 Marathon Blvd., Suite 200 Austin, Texas 78756

(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

(512) 215-2630

Registrant's telephone number, including area code

Check the appropriate box below if the Form 8-K filing is intended to simultaneously satisfy the filing obligation of the registrant under any of the following provisions (see General Instruction A.2. below):

□ Written communications pursuant to Rule 425 under the Securities Act (17 CFR 230.425)

□ Soliciting material pursuant to Rule 14a-12 under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.14a-12)

Pre-commencement communications pursuant to Rule 14d-2(b) under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.14d-2(b))

□ Pre-commencement communications pursuant to Rule 13e-4(c) under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.13e-4(c))

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class	Trading Symbol(s)	Name of each exchange on which registered
Common Stock	LUMO	The Nasdaq Stock Market

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is an emerging growth company as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act of 1933 (§230.405 of this chapter) or Rule 12b-2 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (§240.12b-2 of this chapter). Emerging growth company

If an emerging growth company, indicate by check mark if the registrant has elected not to use the extended transition period for complying with any new or revised financial accounting standards provided pursuant to Section 13(a) of the Exchange Act.

Item 8.01 Other Events.

Lumos Pharma, Inc. (the "Company") is providing an updated corporate slide deck which is attached hereto as Exhibit 99.1 and incorporated herein by reference. The slide deck contains additional information regarding the Company's topline data from its Phase 2 OraGrowtH210 and OraGrowtH212 Trials of LUM-201 in PGHD which met all primary and secondary endpoints.

(d) Exhibits.

 Exhibit Number
 Description

 99.1
 Corporate Slide Deck

SIGNATURES Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned hereunto duly authorized.

Dated: November 15, 2023

LUMOS PHARMA, INC., a Delaware corporation

- By:
- <u>/s/ Richard J. Hawkins</u> Richard J. Hawkins Chief Executive Officer Its:





Forward Looking Statements

This presentation contains forward-looking statements of Lumos Pharma, Inc. that involve substantial risks and uncertainties. All such statements contained in this presentation are forward-looking statements within the meaning of The Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. This law that, in part, gives us the opportunity to share our outlook for the future without fear of litigation if it turns out our predictions were not correct.

We are passionate about our business - including LUM-201 and the potential it may have to help patients in the clinic. This passion feeds our optimism that our efforts will be successful and bring about meaningful change for patients. Please keep in mind that actual results or events could differ materially from the plans, intentions and expectations disclosed in the forward-looking statements that we make.

We have attempted to identify forward-looking statements by using words such as "projected," "upcoming," "will," "would," "plan," "intend," "anticipate," "approximate," "expect," "potential," "imminent," and similar references to future periods or the negative of these terms. Not all forward-looking statements contain these identifying words. Examples of forward-looking statements include, among others, statements we make regarding the plan to have an end-of-phase 2 meeting with the FDA in the first half of 2024 and the anticipated initiation of a Phase 3 program in the second half of 2024, our Phase 2 data providing a clear path to Phase 3 in PGHD, that PEMs enrich trials for patients likely to respond to LUM-201, the expected benefits to LUM-201, and any other statements other than statements of historical fact.

We wish we were able to predict the future with 100% accuracy, but that just is not possible. Our forward-looking statements are neither historical facts nor assurances of future performance. You should not rely on any of these forward-looking statements and, to help you make your own risk determinations, we have provided an extensive discussion of risks that could cause actual results to differ materially from our forward-looking statements including risks related to the continued analysis of data from our LUM-201 Trials, the timing and outcome of our future interactions with regulatory authorities including or each of Phase 3 trial, our ability of Lumos to raise additional equity capital as needed to fund our Phase 3 trial, our ability to structure cash utilization and reserves needed for contingent future liability of Lumos to raise additional equity capital as needed to fund our Phase 3 trial, our ability to structure our Phase 3 trial in effective and timely manner, any statements regarding potential enrolment timelines, the ability to structure out candidate, the effects of pandemics, other widespread health problems or military conflicts including the Ukraine-Russia conflict and the Middle East conflict and other risks that could cause actual results to differ materially from those matters expressed in or implied by such forward-looking statements including information in the "Risk Factors" section and elsewhere in Lumos Pharma's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2023, as well as other reports filed with the SEC including our subsequent Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q and Current Reports on Form 8-K. All of these documents are available on our website. Before making any decisions concerning our stock, you should read and understand those documents.

We anticipate that subsequent events and developments will cause our views to change. We may choose to update these forward-looking statements at some point in the future, however, we disclaim any obligation to do so. As a result, you should not rely on these forward-looking statements as representing our views as of any date subsequent to the date of this presentation.

Overview Lead asset targeting children with growth disorders

Novel Oral Rare Disease Asset	 Novel oral therapeutic asset, LUM-201, for growth hormone deficiency (GHD) disorders LUM-201 acts within natural endocrine pathway, differentiated from injectable therapies 	Coor Coor
Pipeline in a Product	 Worldwide <i>injectable</i> market for GHD disorders is \$3.4 billion, excluding China* Market for Pediatric GHD (PGHD), initial oral LUM-201 indication, is \$1.2 billion* 	
Late-stage Trials in PGHD	 Topline data from two Phase 2 OraGrowtH Trials in PGHD met all endpoints Growth on 1.6 mg/kg LUM-201 in line with historical benchmarks and expectations Ph 2 data provided preliminary validation of PEMs to identify likely LUM-201 responders** 	
Program Advancement	 End-of-Phase 2 meeting with FDA anticipated 1H 2024 to review Phase 3 program Initiation of Phase 3 trial anticipated 2H 2024 	- Close
Solid Financial Position	 Cash balance of \$42.7 million as of close of 3Q 2023 Cash runway through 3Q 2024 	
P PGHD = Pediatric Growth Hormo	Potential for 1st <u>oral</u> therapeutic to disrupt injectable market for GHD	
* USA, Germany, France, Italy, Sj ** PEM (Predictive Enrichment M	an, UK, Japan (Grandview Research, Growth Hormone Market Forecast, 2019). China GHD market estimated at \$1 billion. arker) strategy consists of screening for PEM+ PGHD patients = Baseline IGF-1 > 30 ng/ml & Peak stimulation GH ≥ 5 ng/ml from single oral dose of LUM.	-201

lumos

** PEM (Predictive Enrichment Marker) strategy consists of screening for PEM+ PGHD patients = Baseline IGF-1 > 30 ng/ml & Peak stimulation GH ≥ 5 ng/ml from single oral dose of LUM-201

Management and Advisors – Significant Clinical Development and Commercial Experience



Richard Hawkins

Chairman & CEO Developed Growth Hormone (GH) Beveloped Growin Hormone (GH) Receptor Antagonist for Accromegaly at Sensus (sold to Pfizer). Built one of the first contract recombinant protein manufacturing facilities (Covance Biotechnology). Founder of Pharmaco, a pioneer in the contract research creasization acoder (arcand with RDD) organization sector (merged with PPD).



John McKew, PhD President & Chief Scientific Officer Prior VP of Research at aTyr Pharma – led

Prior VP of Research at a 19 Pharma – led team advancing protein-based therapeutics for rare diseases. Former Scientific Director, NIH - National Center for Advancing Translational Science (NCATS) and Therapeutics for Rare and Neglected Diseases (TRND).

Lort Lawley, CPA Chief Financial Officer Former SVP, Finance and Controller at Lumos Pharma. Previously, SVP, Finance and Member of the Office of the CEO of NewLink Genetics. Prior to that, Senior Manager in Assurance Services at Ernst and Young.



Aaron Schuchart, MBA s Offic

Former Chief Business Officer of Aeglea BioTherapeutics. Former leadership roles in Business Development, Strategy, and Finance at Coherus Biosciences, Novartis Diagnostics/Grifols, and Amgen.

Michael Thorner, MB, BS, DSC



4

Pisit "Duke" Pitukcheewanont, MD SVP Global Clinical Development and Medical Affairs Pediatric endocrinologist and Professor, Pediatric endocrinologist and Professor, Clinical Pediatrics, Keck School of Medicine, USC. President, Human Growth Foundation. Former VP Medical Affairs and VP Global Medical Ambassador & Medical Education at Ascendis Pharma; project: Iong-acting TransCon GH. Former Advisory Board member at Pfizer, Ipsen, Alexion, Ultragenyx, Pharmacia, Serono, others.



Peter Clayton, MD, PhD CSAB Memb

Professor of Child Health and Paediatric Professor of Child Health and Paediatric Endocrinology, University of Manchester. Prior member of Councils of GH Research Society, Society of Paediatric European Society of Paediatric Endocrinology, Served as Chair of ESPE Corporate Liaison Board. Authored over 300 publications on clinical and scientific aspects of paediatric endocrinology.

Lori Lawley, CPA





VP Endocrine Sciences Endocrinologist. Former Chairman of Dept of Medicine, Chief of Division of Endocrinology & Metabolism, Director Clinical Research Center at University of Virginia. Led research group investigating GH secretion regulation. Discovered GH releasing hormone. Instrumental in early studies of LUM-201 (MK-0677). Pioneered use of dopamine agonist drugs for prolactin secreting pituitary tumors. VP Endo

lumos

LUM-201 Program Pipeline



Pediatric Growth Hormone Deficiency (PGHD) – Conversion from Injection to Oral

What is PGHD?	Current Treatment	Unmet Need		
Inadequate secretion of growth hormone during childhood • Majority of cases are moderate • Slower physical growth • Negative effect on metabolic processes • Incidence ≈ 1:3500 ¹	 Injectable therapies are only options Daily, subcutaneous injections of recombinant human growth hormone (rhGH) represent standard of care Weekly rhGH injections are entering the market 	 Standard treatment is ~2,500 daily injections over multi-year period Injections can be painful and burdensome Missed doses lead to suboptimal growth^{2,3} Initial market research supports oral therapy vs weekly injections 		
1 for				

An established market is now primed for the first oral alternative

 1 GlobalData EpiCast Report for Growth Hormone Deficiency Epidemiology forecast to 2026 2 Rosenfeld 2008 Endocrine Practice 3 Cutfield 2011 PLOS ONE

6

lumos

Market Research: Daily Oral Therapeutic Preferred Over Weekly Injectable

Consideration	Market Research Findings ¹
Unmet Need	Non-injectable (oral) therapy; Less frequent administration of injectable therapy
Preference	Vast majority of physicians & caregivers surveyed prefer daily oral tablet over weekly injectable
MOA	Favorable impression regarding LUM-201 affecting natural physiology vs bolus rhGH treatment
Treatment Decisions	Collaborative between physicians and caregivers
Payer Decisions	Price policies in place for category – small molecule COGS should provide attractive margins



7 ¹ Initial Primary Research of PGHD Market conducted for Lumos by Triangle Insights. Physicians N = 20. Caregivers N = 9.

LUM-201 Stimulates Natural Growth Hormone Secretion



¹ Howard 1996 Science ² Nass 2008 Ann Intern Med ³ Chapman 1997 J Clin Endocrinol Metab ⁴ Supported by Lumos Pharma Topline Phase 2 Data * GH secretagogue = molecule that stimulates the secretion of growth hormone (GH)

PEMs Enrich Trials for Patients Likely to Respond to LUM-201

lumos



9 ¹ Blum 2021 JES ² Bright 2021 JES HP-GH axis – hypothalamic pituitary growth hormone axis

lumos

Study 020 Post-Hoc Analysis: PEM-Positive Patients Responsive to LUM-201 **PEM = Predictive Enrichment Marker**

- Multiple LUM-201 trials conducted by Merck
 - In ~1000 adults for sarcopenia, other GH/IGF-1 raised from baseline by LUM-201
 - In ~200 children for PGHD
- Naïve PGHD, Study 020
 - N=68; three arms
- Placebo patients switched to rhGH at 6 mos.
- o Annualized growth shown for each arm
- PEM-positive subset*:

10

- o LUM-201 0.8 mg/kg not statistically different from rhGH
- Dose response: 0.8 mg/kg statistically superior to 0.4 mg/kg



¹ Bright 2021 JES * PEM-positive (PEM+) = PGHD patients with baseline IGF-1 > 30 ng/mI & peak stimulation GH ≥ 5 ng/ml from single dose of LUM-201

PK/PD: Evidence of a PK and PD Dose Response in Healthy Volunteers



OraGrowtH210 Trial: Phase 2 Trial in Naïve Moderate PGHD

lumos



12

OraGrowtH210 Baseline Demographics

	LUM-201 0.8 mg Mean (SD) N=18	LUM-201 1.6 mg Mean (SD) N=22	LUM-201 3.2 mg Mean (SD) <mark>N≓22</mark>	rhGH Mean (SD) <mark>N≕19</mark>
Age (months)	101.3 (29.2)	95.2 (27.3)	94.5 (21.1)	90.7 (23.7)
Height (cm)	116.4 (12.4)	113.6 (11.0)	113.8 (9.2)	112.9 (10.7)
Height SDS	-2.32 (0.30)	-2.33 (0.54)	-2.29 (0.59)	-2.19 (0.41)
IGF-1 SDS	-1.46 (0.62)	-1.38 (0.61)	-1.39 (0.53)	-1.25 (0.49)
MPH (cm)	165.3 (7.1)	164.9 (7.4)	167.4 (7.7)	169.4 (8.7)
MPH SDS Δ	-1.47 (0.67)	-1.61 (0.68)	-1.87 (0.59)	-1.94 (0.62)
BA Delay (yrs)	1.8 (0.9)	1.9 (0.8)	2.0 (0.9)	1.9 (0.9)
BMI SDS	-0.55 (1.10)	-0.18 (0.87)	-0.57 (0.99)	+0.16 (0.88)

SDS = Standard deviation score MPH = Mid-parental height (Child's target height) MPH SDS delta = (Height SDS) – (MPH SDS) BA = Bone age BMI = Body mass index

OraGrowtH210 Met Primary Statistical Objective: PEM enriches the responder population

Application of PEM enriched responder population Highlights 100-· PEM test ensures patients enrolled in the % of population study are capable of secreting GH in response to a single-dose of LUM-201 70/30 enrichment goal • PEM-positive criteria: 50/50 distribution 50-• PGHD patients with baseline IGF-1 >30 ng/ml Peak stimulated GH ≥ 5 ng/ml after a single 0.8 mg/kg dose of LUM-201 non-responder responder 0 0.8 PEM 1.6 PEM 3.2 PEM no enrich Enrichment strategy demonstrated that >70% of PEM+ subjects met prespecified target growth in 1.6 and 3.2 mg/kg/day cohorts

lumos

14

OraGrowtH210 Secondary Statistical Objective: PEM Test Yields Highly Reproducible Results

PEM Test Reproducibility			
Subjects with Positive Agreement on PEM Tests	76/76		
Reproducibility Rate	100%		
95% Confidence Interval	(95.3%, 100%)		

PEM positive classification was 100% reproducible and exceeded pre-specified statistical objective

OraGrowtH210 Met Primary Objective: 6 and 12-Month AHV Data Support 1.6 mg/kg as Optimal Dose for Phase 3

lumos



AHV ANCOVA Model Terms: treatment, Age at dose 1, Sex, Baseline HT SDS, Baseline BMI SDS, Baseline IGF-1 SDS, LUM-201 PEM, Baseline BA Delay, HT SDS-MPH SDS Bars represent Least Squares Mean (LSM), Error bars represent the Standard Error of LSM ** Equates to 0.24 mg/kg/wk (approved rhGH dose range: 0.17-0.24 mg/kg/wk for Norditropin)

16

IUMOS Growth Outliers in the rhGH Cohort: 2 of 3 Subjects under Age 5 Randomized to rhGH

First-year Growth on rhGH for Moderate PGHD KIGS Database Analysis¹



★ OraGrowtH210 youngest subjects in rhGH cohort at 6-months AHV grew well beyond expectations

Analysis of Pfizer's KIGS database of moderate PGHD¹:

- P lines = Percentiles of expected growth on rhGH for moderate PGHD based on age started on therapy
 - "Before" line marks height velocity before GH therapy

¹ Ranke, et al 2010 JCEM

LUM-201 Growth Comparable to Multiple 12-Month Historical Datasets



18

Highlights

- AHVs range from 8.3-9.3 cm/yr in datasets of moderate PGHD patients treated with daily rhGH
- LUM-201 AHVs in line with historical rhGH growth rates in comparable patient populations

[†]ANCOVA Model Terms: treatment, Age at dose 1, Sex, Baseline HT SDS, Baseline BMI SDS, Baseline IGF-1 SDS, LUM-201 PEM, Baseline BA Delay, HT SDS-MPH SDS Bars represent Least Squares Mean (LSM), [†]Error bars represent the Standard Error of LSM *Daily Genotropin control group for Somatrogon Ph3 dosed at 0.034 mg/kg/day (equates to 0.24 mg/kg/wk); subjects were stratified based on GH production during a standard stim test.

OraGrowtH210 Phase 2: IGF-1 Standard Deviation Score (SDS) LUM-201 Normalizes IGF-1 SDS with Durable Effect out to 12 months



Bars represent sample mean, and error bars represent Standard Error of the Mean

19

OraGrowtH210 Summary

- All primary and secondary endpoints met
- LUM-201 AHV's consistent with pre-specified targets from historical benchmarks in moderate PGHD population
- ✓AHV delta for LUM-201 1.6 mg/kg from comparator daily rhGH arm at 6- and 12-months is within the non-inferiority margin (difference less than 1.8 to 2.0 cm) typically used in Phase 3 pivotal trials for rhGH approvals
- LUM-201 normalizes IGF-1 SDS within 6 months on treatment
- Investigational product safety profile remains clean after >1,300 patients treated to date¹
- Phase 2 results support advancing to Phase 3 with final design to be confirmed following EOP2 FDA meeting, anticipated in 1H 2024

¹ Includes adult and pediatric subjects from prior Merck studies

EOP2 = End of Phase 2

OraGrowtH212 Trial: PK/PD Trial in Naïve Moderate PGHD



OraGrowtH212 Trial Baseline Demographics

	LUM-201 1.6 mg Mean (SD) N=11	LUM-201 3.2 mg Mean (SD) N=11
Age (months)	99.7 (15.2)	100.9 (21.1)
Height (cm)	116.5 (5.5)	116.6 (9.5)
Height SDS	-2.15 (0.28)	-2.26 (0.38)
IGF-1 SDS	-1.01 (0.64)	-0.85 (0.50)
MPH (cm)	162.6 (7.0)	160.3 (8.7)
MPH SDS Δ	-0.85 (0.53)	-0.73 (0.51)
BA Delay (yrs)	1.7 (0.86)	1.8 (0.96)
BMI SDS	-0.07 (0.85)	0.28 (0.97)

SDS = Standard deviation score MPH = Mid-parental height (Child's target height) MPH SDS delta = (Height SDS) – (MPH SDS) BA = Bone age BMI = Body mass index

22

OraGrowtH212: Significant Increase in Growth from Baseline AHV at 6 Months

Annualized Height Velocity

(cm/yr)

10-

5

0

AHV - 12m cohort 6-month AHV 15-15-Highlights Annualized Height Velocity Baseline AHV 6-Month AHV 8.1 T 12-Month Significant increase in growth from baseline • 10 AHV (cm/yr) 7.7 Durable effect to 12 • 7.2 7.3 months 6.9 Minimal drop off in AHV between 6 and 12 months 4.5 5 No material difference • between 2 dose cohorts at 6 or 12 months 0 10. 6m 3.64 1.6 baseline 3.2 baselin 3.2 1.0.20 1.6 3.25 baseline <u>,</u>6 baseline ъ., • AHV = Annualized Height Velocity • Bars represent sample mean • Error bars represent SEM

lumos

AHV ANCOVA Model Terms: treatment, Age at dose 1, Sex, Baseline HT SDS, Baseline BMI SDS, Baseline IGF-1 SDS, LUM-201 PEM, Baseline BA Delay, HT SDS-MPH SDS Bars represent Least Squares Mean (LSM), *Baseline AHV was not measured for one patient in the 1.6 mg/kg cohort. 23

OraGrowtH212 Phase 2: IGF-1 SDS LUM-201 Normalizes IGF-1 Level with Durable Effect out to 12 months



LUM-201 normalizes IGF-1 within 6 months Durable effect on IGF-1 out to 12 months

Highlights

0 Subjects > 2 SDS between 0 and 12 months

Bars represent sample mean
Error bars represent SEM
Data represent number of pate

Data represent number of patients for whom data was available at each timepoint; not all patients had reached 12 months on treatment at time of data pull.

OraGrowtH212: LUM-201 Normalizes GH Concentrations in Moderate PGHD

lumos

Time period	Normal healthy (IC-GH [‡])	Untreated GHD (IC-GH [‡])	LUM-201 (baseline GH)*	LUM-201 (treat 6M GH)*	Comparator arm rhGH 34 µg/kg/day
	Zadik†		N =	22	Albertsson- Wikland ^{††}
12h (day) μg/kg.12hr	3.3 <u>+</u> 1.3	1.1 <u>+</u> 0.5	1.3	2.6	-
24h μg/kg/24hr	5.0 <u>+</u> 1.3	1.4 <u>+</u> 0.5	1.7	3.3 - 4.0	~20 µg/kg/24hr ^{††}
Ratio 24:12(day)	1.52	1.27	1.27	1.27-1.52	-

Increasing 24-hour pulsatile secretion, LUM-201 achieves comparable growth to exogenous injectable rhGH, with only 20% of GH concentration levels

¹ IC-GH: integrated concentration of Growth Hormone; data represent mean ± standard deviation *GH concentrations from the combined 1.6 and 3.2 mg/kg/day cohorts † Zadik et al Horm Res 1992 ^{††} Adapted from data in Albertsson-Wikland et al JCEM 1994; 24h exposures listed reflect absorbance/bioavailability of ~60% of the administered dose 25

OraGrowtH212 Summary

- ✓ All primary and secondary endpoints met
- ✓ Increased 6- and 12-month AHV meaningfully from baseline
- ✓ LUM-201 normalized IGF-1 SDS values within 6 months of treatment with durable effect
- LUM-201 stimulates an increase in pulsatile secretion of GH approximating normal physiologic levels
- ✓ Increasing 24-hour pulsatile secretion, LUM-201 achieves comparable growth to daily exogenous injectable rhGH, with only 20% of GH concentration levels

LUM-201 Data Suggests Greater Durability of Response than rhGH to 24 Months IUMOS OraGrowtH210 & OraGrowtH212 Combined (1.6 and 3.2 mg/kg LUM-201)



AHV values from the OraGrowth studies are based on ANCOVA model (details provided on previous slides) * At 24 months, data include a subset of subjects from OraGrowtH210 trial who met protocol criteria to continue past 12 months. ** Ranke et.al. 2010 – rhGH treated cohort of moderate GHD children; mean AHV for the moderate GHD cohorts were 8.58 cm/yr in year 1 and 6.89 cm/yr in year 2. 27

Safety Data from Combined Trials

	PEM	0.8 mg/kg	1.6 mg/kg	3.2 mg/kg	rhGH
	N =129	N =18	N =33	N=33	N =20
Number of AEs	38	59	155	150	54
Subjects with AE (%)	24 (18.6%)	14 (77.8%)	31 (93.9%)	30 (90.9%)	16 (80.0%)
Treatment Related AEs *	7	2	17	20	6
Subjects with Treatment Related AEs (%)	4 (3.1%)	1 (5.6%)	13 (39.4%)	13 (39.4%)	5 (25.0%)
Subjects with SAEs (%)	0 (0%)	#2 (11.1%)	1 (3.0%)	0 (0%)	^{##} 1 (5.0%)
Subject with Treatment Related SAEs (%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0.0%)
28					

Topline Safety Results

- No meaningful treatment-related Serious Adverse Events (SAEs)
- No drop-outs due to SAEs or AEs
- No meaningful safety signals observed in laboratory values, adverse events data, or in EKG values to date
- * Treatment related AEs in 1.6 and 3.2 groups: Increased appetite (23), Pain in extremity (7), Arthralgia (5), Abdominal pain (1), Transaminases Increased (1)

[#]One subject had SAE between PEM dose and randomized dose

Subject had SAE between PEM dose and randomized dose

Lumos Pharma Financial Information as of September 30, 2023 Values in USD

lumos

Cash, equivalents & short-term investments	\$42.7M		
Debt	\$0	Nacdag	
Shares Outstanding	7.9M	Valuasuad	LUIVIO
Cash Use for 4Q 2023	~ \$9.0-\$10.0M		
Fiscal Year End	December 31		

Cash, cash equivalents, & short-term investments to support operations through 3Q 2024, inclusive of activities related to advancing the PGHD program into Phase 3



* AHV = Least Squares Mean Annualized Height Velocity, AHV values from the OraGrowtH studies are based on ANCOVA model (details provided on previous slides) ** This estimated enrollment timeline is based on recent peer PGHD registrational trial enrollment timelines and the absence of simultaneous PGHD trials during our planned an enrollment period. This enrollment timeline is subject to change dependent upon the EOP2 meeting with the FDA and other factors.

REVISED: Aaron Summary Slide w/ Lisa's edits **Investment Thesis** Lead asset targeting children with growth disorders

Attractive Market Opportunity	 Daily oral expected to be well received in GH markets Market research supports rapid conversation to oral and potential expansion opportunities* 	
Novel Asset with Unique MOA	 Novel MOA takes advantage of natural physiology Orphan Drug Designation in US/EU and issued patents in major markets 	
Clear Proof of Concept	 PEM strategy de-risks patient selection, identifying likely LUM-201 responders** Phase 2 trials met all primary and secondary endpoints Consistent PK/PD and attractive safety profile to date in > 1,300 subjects studied 	
Focused Execution	 End-of-Phase 2 meeting with FDA anticipated 1H 2024 to review Phase 3 program Initiation of Phase 3 trial anticipated 2H 2024 	
Solid Financial Position	 Cash balance of \$42.7 million as of close of 3Q 2023 Cash runway through 3Q 2024 	
Po	tential for 1st oral therapeutic to disrupt injectable market for GHD	

lumos

* Initial Primary Research of PGHD Market conducted for Lumos by Triangle Insights ** PEM (Predictive Enrichment Marker) strategy consists of screening for PEM+ PGHD patients = Baseline IGF-1 > 30 ng/ml & Peak stimulation GH ≥ 5 ng/ml from single oral dose of LUM-201 31

Additional Analyses of Phase 2 Data

Safety Profile at Interim Analysis for OraGrowtH210 Trial Data cut October 2023

	0.8 mg/kg	1.6 mg/kg	3.2 mg/kg	ALL LUM-201	rhGH 34 mcg/kg
N =	18	22	22	<u>62</u>	20
Number of AEs	59	79	74	212	54
Subjects with AE (%)	14 (77.8%)	20 (90.9%)	19 (86.4%)	53 (85.5%)	16 (80.0%)
Treatment Related AEs (N)	2	2	4	8	6
Subjects with Treatment Related AEs (%)	1 (5.6%)	2 (9.1%)	3 (13.6%)	6 (9.7%)	5 (25.0%)
Subjects with SAEs (%)	#2 (11.1%)	1 (4.5%)	0 (0.0%)	2 (3.2%)	##1 (5.0%)
Subjects with Treatment Related SAEs (%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)

[#]One subject had SAE between PEM dose and randomized dose

Subject had SAE between PEM dose and randomized dose

33

AE = Adverse Event SAE = Severe Adverse Event

Related OraGrowtH210 Adverse Events

Preferred Term, N (%)	0.8 N=18	1.6 N=22	3.2 N=22	ALL N=62	rhGH N=20		Comr	nents	
Contusion					1 (5.0)	Grade 1, Recovered by next visit			
Injection Site Bruising					2 (10.0)	Grade	Grade 1, Recovered by next visit		
Increased Appetite (All Grade 1)	1 (5.6)	1 (4.5)	1 (4.5)	3 (4.8)	2 (10.0)	Duration:	0.8 mg 1.6 mg 3.2 mg rhGH	Ongoing 1 & 7 months Ongoing 9, 13 & 15 months	
Arthralgia		1 (4.5)	1 (4.5)	2 (3.2)		Both Grac	Both Grade 1, Duration was a few days		
Growing Pains	1 (5.6)			1 (1.6)			Grade 1		
Pain in Extremity			2 (9.1)	2 (3.2)	1 (5.0)	All Grade 1, Intermittent or short duration			

Serious Adverse Events OraGrowtH210 Trial

Serious Adverse Event	System Organ Class	Gr	Study Treatment	Relatedness	Serious Criteria
Product Administration Error	Injury, Poisoning and Procedural Complications	1	NA (occurred prior to receiving any study drug)	<u>Unrelated</u>	Hosp
Dehydration	Metabolism and Nutrition Disorders	3	*PEM (single 0.8 mg/kg)	Unrelated	Hosp
Glycosuria	Renal and Urinary Disorders	1	**PEM (single 0.8 mg/kg)	<u>Unrelated</u>	Hosp
Cartilage Development Disorder	Musculoskeletal and Connective Tissue Disorders	3	0.8 mg/kg/day	<u>Unrelated</u>	Hosp
Pain in Extremity	Musculoskeletal and Connective Tissue Disorders	2	1.6 mg/kg/day	<u>Unrelated</u>	Hosp

* This subject was later randomized to the 0.8mg/kg study arm ** This subject was later randomized to the rhGH arm

There have been no SAEs in the OraGrowtH212 Trial to date

Related OraGrowtH212 AEs

Preferred Term, N (%)	1.6 N=11	3.2 N=11	ALL N=22		Comments	
Abdominal Pain	1 (9.1)		1 (4.5)	Grade 1, Duration: few days		
Transaminases Increased		1 (9.1)	1 (4.5)	Grade 1, Duration: <3 months		
Increased Appetite			21 (95.5)	19 Grade 1	9 ongoing	
	11 (100.0)	10 (90.9)			10 resolved (duration 1-23, avg 9.7 months)	
				2	Grade 2, both ongoing	
Arthralgia	1 (9.1)	2 (18.2)	3 (13.6)	All Grade 1, Duration: < 2 weeks		
Pain in Extremity	2 (18.2)	3 (27.3)	5 (22.7)	All Grade 1, All with duration: < 2 weeks, excep with ongoing intermittent leg pain		

Specific OraGrowtH210 AEs – No meaningful signal Safety data available for 82 subjects at interim analysis, October 2023

	0.8 N=18	1.6 N=22	3.2 N=22	ALL N=62	rhGH N=20
Arthralgia	2 (11.1%)	3 (13.6%)	2 (9.1%)	7 (11.3%)	2 (10.0%)
Myalgia	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	3 (15.0%)
Headache	5 (27.8%)	7 (31.8%)	5 (22.7%)	17 (27.4%)	3 (15.0%)
Lethargy	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Abd. pain	1 (5.6%)	3 (13.6%)	5 (22.7%)	9 (14.5%)	1 (5.0%)
Emesis	0 (0.0%)	1 (4.5%)	3 (13.6%)	4 (6.5%)	3 (15.0%)
Inc. appetite	1 (5.6%)	1 (4.5%)	1 (4.5%)	3 (4.8%)	2 (10.0%)
Hypoglycemia	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Orophary. pain	2 (11.1%)	2 (9.1%)	0 (0.0%)	4 (6.5%)	1 (5.0%)

Laboratory Shifts: No meaningful signal 82 subjects

	0.8 mg/kg N=18	1.6 mg/kg N=22	3.2 mg/kg N=22	ALL N=62	rhGH N=20		
ALT NI to high	2/17 (11.8%)	5/22 (22.7%)	4/22 (18.2%)	11/61 (18%)	7/20 (35%)		
AST NI to high	3/14 (21.4%)	4/21 (19%)	5/22 (22.7%)	12/57 (21.1%)	6/20 (30%)		
Bicarb NI to high	0/18 (0%)	0/22 (0.0%)	1/22 (4.5%)	1/62 (1.6%)	0/20 (0%)		
Bicarb NI to low	8/18 (44.4%)	6/22 (27.3%)	8/22 (36.4%)	22/62 (35.5%)	5/20 (25%)		
Bilirubin NI to high*	4/18 (22.2%)	4/22 (18.2%)	4/22 (18.2%)	12/62 (19.4%)	2/20 (10%)		
Calcium NI to low	1/18 (5.6%)	2/21 (9.5%)	4/22 (18.2%)	7/61 (11.5%)	2/20 (10%)		
Calcium NI to high	0/18 (0%)	2/22 (9.1%)	0/22 (0.0%)	2/61 (3.3%)	0/20 (0%)		
Creatinine NI to low	2/18 (11.1%)	3/22 (13.6%)	2/22 (9.1%)	7/62 (11.3%)	2/20 (10%)		
GGT NI to high	2/17 (11.8%)	6/22 (27.3%)	8/22 (36.4%)	16/61 (26.2%)	1/20 (5%)		

For the shift to study visit, the denominator is the number of subjects with a non-missing value for the given parameter at baseline and the visit. Baseline is defined as the latest results obtained prior to the first dose of study drug. * Bilirubin Q2 laboratory normal range high values are lower than most laboratories

38

Laboratory Shifts						
	0.8 mg/kg N=18	1.6 mg/kg N=22	3.2 mg/kg N=22	ALL N=62	rhGH N=20	
Urea nitro NI to low	4/18 (22.2%)	4/21 (19%)	7/22 (31.8%)	15/61 (24.6%)	7/20 (35%)	
Urea nitro NI to high	1/18 (5.6%)	0/22 (0%)	1/22 (4.5%)	2/62 (3.2%)	0/20 (0%)	
Basophils NI to high	7/17 (41.2%)	12/22 (54.5%)	10/21 (47.6%)	29/60 (48.3%)	4/20 (20%)	
Eosinophils NI to high	2/17 (11.8%)	4/22 (18.2%)	3/21 (14.3%)	9/60 (15%)	5/20 (25%)	
Hematocrit NI to low	2/18 (11.1%)	0/22 (0.0%)	2/22 (9.1%)	4/61 (6.6%)	0/20 (0%)	
Hematocrit NI to high	1/17 (5.9%)	1/22 (4.5%)	2/22 (9.1%)	4/61(6.6%)	0/20 (0%)	
Hemoglob. NI to low	4/18 (22.2%)	2/22 (9.1%)	5/22 (22.7%)	11/62 (17.7%)	0/20 (0%)	
Lymphoc. NI to low	3/17 (17.6%)	0/21 (0.0%)	1/21 (4.8%)	4/59 (6.8 %)	1/20 (5%)	
Lymphoc. NI to high	0/17 (0.0%)	0/22 (0.0%)	2/21 (9.5%)	2/60 (3.3%)	0/20 (0%)	

Laboratory Shifts						
	0.8 mg/kg N=18	1.6 mg/kg N=22	3.2 mg/kg N=22	ALL N=62	rhGH N=20	
Globulin NI to low	6/18 (33.3%)	4/22 (18.2%)	4/22 (18.2%)	14/62 (22.6%)	5/20 (25%)	
Glucose NI to high	0/18 (0%)	5/22 (22.7%)	6/22 (27.3%)	11/61 (18%)	0/20 (0%)	
Glucose NI to low	0/18 (0%)	0/22 (0.0%)	1/22 (4.5%)	1/62 (1.6%)	0/20 (0%)	
Insulin NI to low	2/17 (11.8%)	2/20 (10%)	1/21 (4.8%)	5/58 (8.6%)	0/20 (0%)	
Phosphate NI to low	0/18 (0%)	0/22 (0.0%)	1/22 (4.5%)	1/61 (1.6%)	1/20 (5%)	
Phosphate NI to high	6/17 (35.3%)	4/22 (18.2%)	7/22 (31.8%)	17/61 (27.9%)	7/20 (35%)	
Protein NI to high	0/18 (0%)	1/22 (4.5%)	5/22 (22.7%)	6/62 (9.7%)	1/20 (5%)	
Protein NI to low	0/18 (0%)	2/22 (9.1%)	2/22 (9.1%)	4/62 (6.5%)	3/20 (15%)	
Potassium NI to high	4/16 (25%)	9/22 (40.9%)	7/22 (31.8%)	20/60 (33.3%)	1/20 (5%)	

Laboratory Shifts						
	0.8 mg/kg N=18	1.6 mg/kg N=22	3.2 mg/kg N=22	ALL N=62	rhGH N=20	
Ery. crp. Hb NI to low	2/17 (11.8%)	2/22 (9.1%)	3/22 (13.6%)	7/61 (11.5%)	2/20 (10%)	
Ery. crp. vol NI to low	1/18 (5.6%)	3/21 (14.3%)	3/22 (13.6%)	7/61 (11.5%)	1/20 (5%)	
Ery. crp vol NI to high	0/17 (0.0%)	0/22 (0.0%)	0/22 (0.0%)	0/61 (0%)	0/20 (0%)	
Monocytes NI to low	3/17 (17.6%)	3/21 (14.3%)	1/21(4.8%)	7/59(11.9%)	1/20(5%)	
Monocytes NI to high	3/17 (17.6%)	3/22 (13.6%)	4/21 (19%)	10/60(16.7%)	0/20 (0%)	
Neutroph. NI to high	0/18 (0%)	2/22 (9.1%)	2/21 (9.5%)	4/60 (6.7%)	1/20 (5%)	
Neutroph. NI to low	3/17 (17.6%)	4/21 (19%)	6/21 (28.6%)	13/59 (22%)	3/20 (15%)	
Platelets NI to low	0/18 (0.0%)	0/22 (0%)	1/22 (4.5%)	1/62 (1.6%)	0/20 (0%)	
Platelets NI to high	6/17 (35.3%)	5/22 (22.7%)	6/22 (27.3%)	17/61 (27.9%)	0/20 (0%)	

Laboratory Shifts: No meaningful signal

	0.8 mg/kg N=18	1.6 mg/kg N=22	3.2 mg/kg N=22	ALL N=62	rhGH N=20
Eryth. NI to high	1/17 (5.9%)	2/22 (9.1%)	2/22 (9.1%)	5/61 (8.2%)	1/20 (5%)
Eryth. NI to low	1/18 (5.6%)	0/22 (0.0%)	0/22 (0.0%)	1/62 (1.6%)	0/20 (0%)
Leukocyt. NI to high	1/17 (5.9%)	2/22 (9.1 %)	2/22 (9.1%)	5/61 (8.2%)	1/20 (5%)
Leukocyt. NI to low	4/17 (23.5%)	4/21 (19%)	2/22 (9.1%)	10/60 (16.7%)	2/20 (10%)

Supplementary Materials

Historical Data Show LUM-201 Augments Growth Hormone (GH) Pulsatility and Increases Circulating IGF-1

- · Adults with GH deficiency
- Individual subjects
- · Representative 24-hour GH profiles on Day 4 of treatment



44 Chapman 1997 J Clin Endocrinol

Historical Data Demonstrate Differentiated MOA of LUM-201 vs rhGH LUM-201 Augments Growth Hormone (GH) Pulsatility in GHD Adults

- Adults with GH deficiency
- LUM-201 augments endogenous GH pulses
- rhGH is administered as single, daily bolus doses



Historical Data Show LUM-201 Effects Are Durable in Healthy Elderly



⁴⁶ Nass 2008 Ann Intern Med

Interim OraGrowtH210 Data (*November 2022*): rhGH Cohort Grew More than Historical Norms in Moderate PGHD Patient Population



OraGrowtH212 Trial: Pulsatility and AHV data: **Month 6** for Patient A (**1.6 mg**/kg/day) From interim data, November 2022



**Percent change from baseline calculated as: (6mo value - baseline value) / (baseline value)

48 Cassoria, F, et al. IMPE, March 2023

OraGrowtH212 Trial: Pulsatility and AHV data: **Month 6** for Patient B (**3.2 mg**/kg/day) From interim data , November 2022



49 Cassoria, F, et al. IMPE, March 2023

OraGrowtH212 Trial: Change from Baseline in Mean GH Concentration and GH AUC_{0-12h} after 6-months LUM-201 Daily Dosing at ~70% Enrollment (N=15)

Dose of LUM-201		1.6 n (n :	ng/kg = 8)	3.2 mg/kg (n=7)		
		baseline	6 mo	baseline	6 mo	
mean GH	Median	1.04	1.22	0.47	1.36	
conc (ng/ml)	95% CI	0.51-1.59	0.81-1.93	0.25-1.17	0.49-3.02	
	Median	758.6	894.0	343.8	992.3	
GH AUC _{0-12h}	95% CI	376.4-1161	587.1-1411	182.0-854.9	357.3-2207	

Conclusions

- Increases in GH AUC₀₋₁₂ are driven primarily by increased amplitude of GH pulses to generate increases in height velocity
- Number of GH pulses is unchanged from baseline to 6 months of treatment
- The 3.2 mg/kg cohort started with lower GH secretion at baseline than the 1.6 mg/kg cohort

50 Cassoria, F, et al. IMPE, March 2023

Key Baseline Characteristics that Predict Better AHV With rhGH Treatment of PGHD Patients

Historical data from multiple peer-reviewed scientific publications demonstrate the following metrics as key predictors of first-year growth

Baseline Age

- · Age is the top predictor of growth on treatment
- Younger PGHD subjects grow faster¹
- Baseline Height
- Shorter stature at baseline predicts greater 1st year growth²
- Baseline IGF-1 SDS
 - Lower baseline IGF-1 SDS predicts faster growth³
- Baseline Mid-parental height & Delta MPH SDS
 - · Greater mid-parental height and subject Height SDS farther below MPH SDS predicts greater 1st year growth4
- Baseline weight (BMI)
 - Greater baseline weight (higher BMI) predicts faster growth⁵

Ranke, et al. Growth Horm & IGF Res (2009) 19:1–11; Lee, et al. Internat J Pediat Endocrin (2011);6; Yang, et al. Nature Sci Rep (2019) 9(1);16181; Blum et al JES (2021); Ranke et al JCEM (2010); Blethen, et al. JCEM (1993 Mar);76(3):574-9; Cho, et al. J Korean Med Sci. (2020 May) 35(19):e151
 Ranke, et al. Growth Horm & IGF Rese (2009) 19:1–11; Lee, et al. Intern J Pediat Endocrin (2011);6; Cho, et al. J Korean Med Sci. (2020 May) 35(19):e151; Ranke et al. JCEM (1993 Mar);76(3):574-9; Cho, et al. J Korean Med Sci. (2020 May) 35(19):e151

- 2005) 90(4):1966-1971 ³ Ranke, et al. Growth Horm & IGF Res (2009) 19:1–11; Lee, et al. Internat J Pediat Endocrin (2011):6 ⁴ Ranke, et al. Growth Horm & IGF Res (2009) 19:1–11; Lee, et al. Internat J Pediat Endocrin (2011):6 ⁵ Ranke, et al. Growth Horm & IGF Res (2009) 19:1–11; Lee, et al. Internat J Pediat Endocrin 2011:6; Cho, et al. J Korean Med Sci. 2020 May 18;35(19):e151 ⁵ Ranke, et al. Growth Horm & IGF Res (2009) 19:1–11; Lee, et al. Internat J Pediat Endocrin 2011:6; Cho, et al. J Korean Med Sci. 2020 May 18;35(19):e151 ⁵ Ranke, et al. Growth Horm & IGF Res (2009) 19:1–11; Lee, et al. Internat J Pediat Endocrin 2011:6; Cho, et al. J Korean Med Sci. 2020 May 18;35(19):e151; Blethen, et al. JCEM 51
 - (1993 Mar);76(3):574-9; Ranke, et al. JCEM (2005) 90(4);1966-1971; Yang, et al. Nature Sci Rep 2019, 9(1); 16181

Ranke Model is the Gold Standard in Growth Prediction for GHD

PHV = 14.55 + [-1.37 X (In max GH stim)] + (-0.32 X Age) + (0.32 X BWt SDS) + (-0.5457) + (-0.4 X HtSDS-MPH SDS) + (0.29 X Wt SDS)

•	Parameter Rank 1st	[-1.37 X (In max GH stim)]	A measure of how GHD subject is by stim test value
•	Parameter Rank 2 nd	(-0.32 X Age)	Age at treatment start is a very important predictor
•	Parameter Rank 6th	(0.32 X BWt SDS)	Birth weight SDS
•	Parameter Rank 5th	(-0.5457)	Dose of rhGH (constant for this trial)
•	Parameter Rank 3rd	(-0.4 X HtSDS-MPH SDS)	Measure of how far away from their target height
•	Parameter Rank 4th	(0.29 X Wt SDS)	Body weight at start of treatment

The model was developed based on mining the KIGS data set of rhGH PGHD treatment data
 Phase 4 database for Genotropin N= 593 when model developed

Developed models to predict 1st, 2nd, 3rd, 4th year growth

Growth for both rhGH and LUM-201 1.6 mg/kg cohorts was predicted using Ranke models

52 Ranke et al JCEM 1999 | PHV = Predictive Height Velocity | Age = Baseline Age | BWt = Birth Weight | Wt = Weight at Start of Treatment | SDS = Standard Deviation Score

PGHD is ~35% of the \$3.4B Pediatric Recombinant Growth Hormone Market



Growth Hormone Deficiency Patients Have a Range of Secretion Insufficiency

- · Well established in the literature:
 - A wide range of severity in GHD¹
 - Variability in responses to GH therapy
 - Severely GH deficient patients exhibit greater growth response to rhGH compared to moderately deficient patients¹
- Several prediction models attempt to explain variability and optimize GH treatment²
 - Multiple factors may contribute
 - GH response to standard stimulation tests is most important predictor of first year growth response to rhGH in PGHD in one analysis³
 - Inclusion of baseline IGF-1 strengthened model⁴
- Recent publications
 - Baseline IGF-1 and GH response to standard stimulations tests are independent predictors of growth when patients are treated with rhGH⁵
 - Moderate GHD represents ~60% of total PGHD population⁵



1 Tanner 1971 Arch Dis Childhood ² Wit 2013 Hormone Res Paed ³ Ranke 1999 JCEM ⁴ Kristrom 1997 JCEM ⁵ Blum 2021 JES

lumos

PEM Segmentation Aligns With Patients' Differentiated Baseline Characteristics



More GH Released from LUM-201 Stim than from Standard Stim Test Agents



68 children with growth hormone deficiency

All had 2 standard GH stimulation tests
Standard test agents: arginine, clonidine, I-dopa, glucagon, insulin

All had a single dose of LUM-201 stim test



Study of Oral LUM-201 in Non-Alcoholic Fatty Liver Disease (NAFLD) Mass General Investigator-Initiated Phase 2 Pilot Trial

MGH Initiated Phase 2 Pilot Trial#

- n = 10
- Adult NAFLD subjects with relative GH/IGF-1 deficiency
- Open-label

57

- Single-site pilot study
- 6-month dosing

- Currently enrolling subjects
 - Study Duration 6 months
- = 10 LUM-201 at dose level of 25 mg/day

Objectives

Primary Objective:

 Determine changes in intrahepatic lipid content, inflammation, and potentially fibrosis resulting from LUM-201 induced GH augmentation compared to historical placebo-treated controls

Massachusetts General Hospital (MGH) initiated pilot study of oral LUM-201 in NAFLD: Enrollment ongoing

Principal Investigator: Laura Dichtel, MD, Assistant Professor, Massachusetts General Hospital

Trial supported by prior data evaluating rhGH in NAFLD: (ENDO 2022) JES, Volume 6, Issue Supplement_1, November-December 2022, Page A525